

Generalised crossed products and cyclic cohomology

Olivier GABRIEL

Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Marseille – January 16th 2013

Plan of the talk

Follow the title:

- 1 From some “twisted dynamical system” \mathbb{Z} ,
construct Generalised Crossed Products (GCP).
- 2 Introduce required (co)homologies.
- 3 Present our results in this setting.

Illustration: case of *Quantum Heisenberg Manifolds* (QHM).

Disclaimer: everything expressed in terms of algebras!

- 1 Generalised Crossed Products: definition and examples
- 2 (Co)homology: definitions and case of QHM
- 3 (Co)homology: general results

Dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} and algebras

No topology on algebras (for the moment)!

► More top.

Geometry

(compact) space X

homeomorphism σ of X

Algebra

functions on X ,
(unital) algebra $B = C(X)$

automorphism σ of B

“Translation” of dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} :
space X , homeomorphism σ ,

\rightsquigarrow crossed product $A := B \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$ i.e.

- algebra generated by all bU for $b \in C(X)$...
- with product

$$bU \cdot b'U = b\sigma(b')U^2.$$

and $\xi \otimes \sigma(\xi') \in C(X; \mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma\mathcal{L})$.

All crossed products carry a natural action of S^1 .

Example: noncommutative torus ($X = S^1$, σ : irrational rotation).

Dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} and algebras

No topology on algebras (for the moment)!

► More top.

Geometry

(compact) space X

homeomorphism σ of X

Algebra

functions on X ,
(unital) algebra $B = C(X)$

automorphism σ of B

“Translation” of dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} :
space X , homeomorphism σ ,

\rightsquigarrow crossed product $A := B \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$ i.e.

- algebra generated by all bU for $b \in C(X)$...
- with product

$$bU \cdot b'U = b\sigma(b')U^2.$$

and $\xi \otimes \sigma(\xi') \in C(X; \mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma\mathcal{L})$.

All crossed products carry a natural action of S^1 .

Example: noncommutative torus ($X = S^1$, σ : irrational rotation).

Dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} and algebras

No topology on algebras (for the moment)!

► More top.

Geometry

(compact) space X

homeomorphism σ of X

Algebra

functions on X ,
(unital) algebra $B = C(X)$

automorphism σ of B

“Translation” of dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} :
space X , homeomorphism σ ,

\rightsquigarrow crossed product $A := B \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$ i.e.

- algebra generated by all bU for $b \in C(X)$...
- with product

$$bU \cdot b'U = b\sigma(b')U^2.$$

and $\xi \otimes \sigma(\xi') \in C(X; \mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma\mathcal{L})$.

All crossed products carry a natural action of S^1 .

Example: noncommutative torus ($X = S^1$, σ : irrational rotation).

Dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} and algebras

No topology on algebras (for the moment)!

► More top.

Geometry

(compact) space X

homeomorphism σ of X

Algebra

functions on X ,
(unital) algebra $B = C(X)$

automorphism σ of B

“Translation” of dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} :
space X , homeomorphism σ ,

\rightsquigarrow crossed product $A := B \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$ i.e.

- algebra generated by all bU for $b \in C(X)$...
- with product

$$bU \cdot b'U = b\sigma(b')U^2.$$

and $\xi \otimes \sigma(\xi') \in C(X; \mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma\mathcal{L})$.

All crossed products carry a natural action of S^1 .

Example: noncommutative torus ($X = S^1$, σ : irrational rotation).

Dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} and algebras

No topology on algebras (for the moment)!

[▶ More top.](#)

Geometry

(compact) space X

homeomorphism σ of X

Algebra

functions on X ,
(unital) algebra $B = C(X)$

automorphism σ of B

“Translation” of **twisted** dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} :

space X , homeomorphism σ , **line bundle** $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$

\rightsquigarrow **generalised crossed product** $A = B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$ i.e.

- algebra generated by all ξU for $\xi \in C(X; \mathcal{L})$...
- with product

$$\xi U \cdot \xi' U = \xi \otimes \sigma(\xi') U^2.$$

and $\xi \otimes \sigma(\xi') \in C(X; \mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma\mathcal{L})$.

All **generalised** crossed products carry a natural action of S^1 .

Only particular case of general definition [▶ More](#).

Dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} and algebras

No topology on algebras (for the moment)!

► More top.

Geometry

(compact) space X

homeomorphism σ of X

Algebra

functions on X ,
(unital) algebra $B = C(X)$

automorphism σ of B

“Translation” of **twisted** dynamical systems over \mathbb{Z} :

space X , homeomorphism σ , **line bundle** $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$

\rightsquigarrow **generalised crossed product** $A = B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$ i.e.

- algebra generated by all ξU for $\xi \in C(X; \mathcal{L})$...
- with product

$$\xi U \cdot \xi' U = \xi \otimes \sigma(\xi') U^2.$$

and $\xi \otimes \sigma(\xi') \in C(X; \mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma\mathcal{L})$.

All **generalised** crossed products carry a natural action of S^1 .

Only particular case of general definition [► More](#).

Limit cases and examples

Generalised Crossed Products (GCP) associated to (X, σ, \mathcal{L}) .

Limit cases of $A = B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$:

- If $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ is trivial: usual crossed product $A \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$,
- If $\sigma = \text{Id}_X$: get a commutative algebra, functions on S^1 -principal bundle $P \rightarrow X$ generating \mathcal{L} .

► More Details

Simple non-degenerated examples:

- $X = T^2$, $\sigma = \sigma_{\mu, \nu}$, translation by $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Line bundles $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow T^2$: classified by $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

\rightsquigarrow *Quantum Heisenberg Manifolds*: algebras $D_{\mu, \nu}^c$ indexed by

- a “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- two “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

When $\mu = \nu = 0$, recover $D_{0,0}^c = C(M^c)$, functions on the usual *Heisenberg manifolds* M^c .

► Conclusion

Limit cases and examples

Generalised Crossed Products (GCP) associated to (X, σ, \mathcal{L}) .

Limit cases of $A = B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$:

- If $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ is trivial: usual crossed product $A \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$,
- If $\sigma = \text{Id}_X$: get a commutative algebra, functions on S^1 -principal bundle $P \rightarrow X$ generating \mathcal{L} .

► More Details

Simple non-degenerated examples:

- $X = T^2$, $\sigma = \sigma_{\mu, \nu}$, translation by $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Line bundles $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow T^2$: classified by $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

\rightsquigarrow *Quantum Heisenberg Manifolds*: algebras $D_{\mu, \nu}^c$ indexed by

- a “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- two “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

When $\mu = \nu = 0$, recover $D_{0,0}^c = C(M^c)$, functions on the usual *Heisenberg manifolds* M^c .

► Conclusion

Limit cases and examples

Generalised Crossed Products (GCP) associated to (X, σ, \mathcal{L}) .

Limit cases of $A = B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$:

- If $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ is trivial: usual crossed product $A \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}$,
- If $\sigma = \text{Id}_X$: get a commutative algebra, functions on S^1 -principal bundle $P \rightarrow X$ generating \mathcal{L} .

► More Details

Simple non-degenerated examples:

- $X = T^2$, $\sigma = \sigma_{\mu, \nu}$, translation by $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Line bundles $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow T^2$: classified by $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

\rightsquigarrow *Quantum Heisenberg Manifolds*: algebras $D_{\mu, \nu}^c$ indexed by

- a “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- two “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

When $\mu = \nu = 0$, recover $D_{0,0}^c = C(M^c)$, functions on the usual *Heisenberg manifolds* M^c .

► Conclusion

- 1 Generalised Crossed Products: definition and examples
- 2 (Co)homology: definitions and case of QHM
- 3 (Co)homology: general results

(Co)homology for algebras

Introduce topology on the algebras.

(Co)homology for algebras? Two possibilities:

Consider only topological properties \rightsquigarrow K -theory.

- Use C^* -algebras as $B = C^0(X; \mathbb{C})$, continuous functions.
- $K_0(B)$ and $K_1(B)$: Abelian (discrete) groups which...
- ...classify modules (for K_0) and unitaries (for K_1) of B .
- Covariant: $B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ induces $K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$.

Analog of De Rham homology \rightsquigarrow Cyclic cohomology.

- Requires a differential structure on X ...
- ...translates into a Fréchet structure for $\mathcal{B} = C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$.
- HP^0 and HP^1 : vector spaces of multilinear forms on \mathcal{B} .
- Contravariant: $\mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ induces $HP^*(\mathcal{B}_1) \leftarrow HP^*(\mathcal{B}_2)$.

For $\mathcal{B} = C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$, recover:

$$HP^0(\mathcal{B}) = H_{\text{even}}^{\text{dR}}(X)$$

$$HP^1(\mathcal{B}) = H_{\text{odd}}^{\text{dR}}(X)$$

(Co)homology for algebras

Introduce topology on the algebras.

(Co)homology for algebras? Two possibilities:

Consider only topological properties \rightsquigarrow K -theory.

- Use C^* -algebras as $B = C^0(X; \mathbb{C})$, continuous functions.
- $K_0(B)$ and $K_1(B)$: Abelian (discrete) groups which...
- ...classify modules (for K_0) and unitaries (for K_1) of B .
- Covariant: $B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ induces $K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$.

Analog of De Rham homology \rightsquigarrow Cyclic cohomology.

- Requires a differential structure on X ...
- ...translates into a Fréchet structure for $\mathcal{B} = C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$.
- HP^0 and HP^1 : vector spaces of multilinear forms on \mathcal{B} .
- Contravariant: $\mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ induces $HP^*(\mathcal{B}_1) \leftarrow HP^*(\mathcal{B}_2)$.

For $\mathcal{B} = C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$, recover:

$$HP^0(\mathcal{B}) = H_{\text{even}}^{\text{dR}}(X)$$

$$HP^1(\mathcal{B}) = H_{\text{odd}}^{\text{dR}}(X)$$

(Co)homology for algebras

Introduce topology on the algebras.

(Co)homology for algebras? Two possibilities:

Consider only topological properties \rightsquigarrow K -theory.

- Use C^* -algebras as $B = C^0(X; \mathbb{C})$, continuous functions.
- $K_0(B)$ and $K_1(B)$: Abelian (discrete) groups which...
- ...classify modules (for K_0) and unitaries (for K_1) of B .
- Covariant: $B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ induces $K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$.

Analog of De Rham homology \rightsquigarrow Cyclic cohomology.

- Requires a differential structure on X ...
- ...translates into a Fréchet structure for $\mathcal{B} = C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$.
- HP^0 and HP^1 : vector spaces of multilinear forms on \mathcal{B} .
- Contravariant: $\mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ induces $HP^*(\mathcal{B}_1) \leftarrow HP^*(\mathcal{B}_2)$.

For $\mathcal{B} = C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$, recover:

$$HP^0(\mathcal{B}) = H_{\text{even}}^{\text{dR}}(X)$$

$$HP^1(\mathcal{B}) = H_{\text{odd}}^{\text{dR}}(X)$$

(Co)homology for algebras

Introduce topology on the algebras.

(Co)homology for algebras? Two possibilities:

Consider only topological properties \rightsquigarrow K -theory.

- Use C^* -algebras as $B = C^0(X; \mathbb{C})$, continuous functions.
- $K_0(B)$ and $K_1(B)$: Abelian (discrete) groups which...
- ...classify modules (for K_0) and unitaries (for K_1) of B .
- Covariant: $B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ induces $K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$.

Analog of De Rham homology \rightsquigarrow Cyclic cohomology.

- Requires a differential structure on X ...
- ...translates into a Fréchet structure for $\mathcal{B} = C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$.
- HP^0 and HP^1 : vector spaces of multilinear forms on \mathcal{B} .
- Contravariant: $\mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ induces $HP^*(\mathcal{B}_1) \leftarrow HP^*(\mathcal{B}_2)$.

For $\mathcal{B} = C^\infty(X; \mathbb{C})$, recover:

$$HP^0(\mathcal{B}) = H_{\text{even}}^{\text{dR}}(X) \qquad HP^1(\mathcal{B}) = H_{\text{odd}}^{\text{dR}}(X)$$

Example: quantum Heisenberg manifolds

Two different versions of quantum Heisenberg manifolds:

- C^* -algebras $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ properly speaking. K -theory:

Proposition (Abadie, 1995)

$$K_0(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z} \qquad K_1(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

- “Smooth” algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$. Cyclic cohomology:

Proposition (G., 2011)

$$HP^0(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3 \qquad HP^1(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3.$$

- For $\mu = \nu = 0$, get $\mathcal{D}_{0,0}^c = C^\infty(M^c)$, smooth functions on Heisenberg manifolds M^c .
- K -theory: distinguishes the “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- None can distinguish “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example: quantum Heisenberg manifolds

Two different versions of quantum Heisenberg manifolds:

- C^* -algebras $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ properly speaking. K -theory:

Proposition (Abadie, 1995)

$$K_0(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z} \qquad K_1(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

- “Smooth” algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$. Cyclic cohomology:

Proposition (G., 2011)

$$HP^0(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3 \qquad HP^1(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3.$$

- For $\mu = \nu = 0$, get $\mathcal{D}_{0,0}^c = C^\infty(M^c)$, smooth functions on Heisenberg manifolds M^c .
- K -theory: distinguishes the “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- None can distinguish “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example: quantum Heisenberg manifolds

Two different versions of quantum Heisenberg manifolds:

- C^* -algebras $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ properly speaking. K -theory:

Proposition (Abadie, 1995)

$$K_0(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z} \qquad K_1(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

- “Smooth” algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$. Cyclic cohomology:

Proposition (G., 2011)

$$HP^0(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3 \qquad HP^1(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3.$$

- For $\mu = \nu = 0$, get $\mathcal{D}_{0,0}^c = C^\infty(M^c)$, smooth functions on Heisenberg manifolds M^c .
- K -theory: distinguishes the “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- None can distinguish “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example: quantum Heisenberg manifolds

Two different versions of quantum Heisenberg manifolds:

- C^* -algebras $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ properly speaking. K -theory:

Proposition (Abadie, 1995)

$$K_0(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z} \qquad K_1(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

- “Smooth” algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$. Cyclic cohomology:

Proposition (G., 2011)

$$HP^0(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3 \qquad HP^1(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3.$$

- For $\mu = \nu = 0$, get $\mathcal{D}_{0,0}^c = C^\infty(M^c)$, smooth functions on Heisenberg manifolds M^c .
- K -theory: distinguishes the “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- None can distinguish “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example: quantum Heisenberg manifolds

Two different versions of quantum Heisenberg manifolds:

- C^* -algebras $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ properly speaking. K -theory:

Proposition (Abadie, 1995)

$$K_0(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z} \qquad K_1(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

- “Smooth” algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$. Cyclic cohomology:

Proposition (G., 2011)

$$HP^0(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3 \qquad HP^1(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3.$$

- For $\mu = \nu = 0$, get $\mathcal{D}_{0,0}^c = C^\infty(M^c)$, smooth functions on Heisenberg manifolds M^c .
- K -theory: distinguishes the “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- None can distinguish “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example: quantum Heisenberg manifolds

Two different versions of quantum Heisenberg manifolds:

- C^* -algebras $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ properly speaking. K -theory:

Proposition (Abadie, 1995)

$$K_0(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z} \qquad K_1(D) = \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

- “Smooth” algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$. Cyclic cohomology:

Proposition (G., 2011)

$$HP^0(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3 \qquad HP^1(\mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}^3.$$

- For $\mu = \nu = 0$, get $\mathcal{D}_{0,0}^c = C^\infty(M^c)$, smooth functions on Heisenberg manifolds M^c .
- K -theory: distinguishes the “topological” parameter $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- None can distinguish “dynamical” parameters $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Duality and Chern-Connes pairings

Combining both: Chern-Connes pairings. For good $\mathcal{B} \subseteq B$,

$$\text{for } K \in K_j(B) \text{ and } \varphi \in HP^j(\mathcal{B}), \quad \langle K, \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

Exists for $j = 0, 1$. “Bilinear” in φ and K . Manageable.

- Improves knowledge of algebras. For QHM, recover μ, ν :

Theorem (Abadie, 2000 – G., 2011)

Bilinear pairings $K_*(D)/HP^*(\mathcal{D})$, even and odd cases

	τ	$\varphi_{1,3}$	$\varphi_{2,3}$
$[P_0]$	1	0	0
$[P_1]$	2μ	1	0
$[P_2]$	2ν	0	1

	φ_1	φ_2	$\varphi_{1,2,3}$
$[U_1]$	1	0	0
$[U_2]$	0	1	0
$[U_3]$	2ν	-2μ	1

▶ About the proof

Particular cases:

- Evaluation of trace on K -theory (Bellissard’s gap label).
- Kubo formula for Quantum Hall Effect (QHE).

Duality and Chern-Connes pairings

Combining both: Chern-Connes pairings. For good $\mathcal{B} \subseteq B$,

$$\text{for } K \in K_j(B) \text{ and } \varphi \in HP^j(\mathcal{B}), \quad \langle K, \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

Exists for $j = 0, 1$. “Bilinear” in φ and K . Manageable.

- Improves knowledge of algebras. For QHM, recover μ, ν :

Theorem (Abadie, 2000 – G., 2011)

Bilinear pairings $K_*(D)/HP^*(\mathcal{D})$, even and odd cases

	τ	$\varphi_{1,3}$	$\varphi_{2,3}$
$[P_0]$	1	0	0
$[P_1]$	2μ	1	0
$[P_2]$	2ν	0	1

	φ_1	φ_2	$\varphi_{1,2,3}$
$[U_1]$	1	0	0
$[U_2]$	0	1	0
$[U_3]$	2ν	-2μ	1

► About the proof

Particular cases:

- Evaluation of trace on K -theory (Bellissard’s gap label).
- Kubo formula for Quantum Hall Effect (QHE).

Duality and Chern-Connes pairings

Combining both: Chern-Connes pairings. For good $\mathcal{B} \subseteq B$,

$$\text{for } K \in K_j(B) \text{ and } \varphi \in HP^j(\mathcal{B}), \quad \langle K, \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

Exists for $j = 0, 1$. “Bilinear” in φ and K . Manageable.

- Improves knowledge of algebras. For QHM, recover μ, ν :

Theorem (Abadie, 2000 – G., 2011)

Bilinear pairings $K_*(D)/HP^*(\mathcal{D})$, even and odd cases

	τ	$\varphi_{1,3}$	$\varphi_{2,3}$
$[P_0]$	1	0	0
$[P_1]$	2μ	1	0
$[P_2]$	2ν	0	1

	φ_1	φ_2	$\varphi_{1,2,3}$
$[U_1]$	1	0	0
$[U_2]$	0	1	0
$[U_3]$	2ν	-2μ	1

▶ About the proof

Particular cases:

- Evaluation of trace on K -theory (Bellissard’s gap label).
- Kubo formula for Quantum Hall Effect (QHE).

- 1 Generalised Crossed Products: definition and examples
- 2 (Co)homology: definitions and case of QHM
- 3 (Co)homology: general results

Exact sequences for K_* and HP^* – an overview

If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of C^* -algebras, the following is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C) \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & \uparrow & & & \\ K_1(C) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B) & \longleftarrow & K_1(A). \end{array}$$

Theorem (Cuntz & Quillen, 1994)

If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$ exact (algebras with sections), the following is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} HP^0(\mathcal{A}) & \longleftarrow & HP^0(\mathcal{B}) & \longleftarrow & HP^0(\mathcal{C}) \\ & & & & \uparrow \\ & \downarrow & & & \\ HP^1(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & HP^1(\mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & HP^1(\mathcal{A}). \end{array}$$

K-theory for Generalised Crossed Products

For a C^* -algebraic GCP, Pimsner-Voiculescu type exact sequence:

Theorem (Pimsner, 1997 – Abadie, Eilers & Exel, 1998)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow \partial & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_1(B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B). \end{array}$$

The maps $K_j(B) \rightarrow K_j(B)$ are defined out of \mathcal{L} and σ .

We want a similar statement for HP^* , i.e.

- 1 a “smooth version” $\mathcal{B} \hat{\rtimes} \mathbb{Z}$ of $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$;
- 2 a 6-term exact sequence for HP^* ;
- 3 a “compatibility” between these two 6-term exact sequences.

K-theory for Generalised Crossed Products

For a C^* -algebraic GCP, Pimsner-Voiculescu type exact sequence:

Theorem (Pimsner, 1997 – Abadie, Eilers & Exel, 1998)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow \partial & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_1(B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B). \end{array}$$

The maps $K_j(B) \rightarrow K_j(B)$ are defined out of \mathcal{L} and σ .

We want a similar statement for HP^* , i.e.

- 1 a “smooth version” $\mathcal{B} \hat{\rtimes} \mathbb{Z}$ of $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$;
- 2 a 6-term exact sequence for HP^* ;
- 3 a “compatibility” between these two 6-term exact sequences.

K-theory for Generalised Crossed Products

For a C^* -algebraic GCP, Pimsner-Voiculescu type exact sequence:

Theorem (Pimsner, 1997 – Abadie, Eilers & Exel, 1998)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow \partial & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_1(B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B). \end{array}$$

The maps $K_j(B) \rightarrow K_j(B)$ are defined out of \mathcal{L} and σ .

We want a similar statement for HP^* , i.e.

- 1 a “smooth version” $\mathcal{B} \hat{\rtimes} \mathbb{Z}$ of $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$;
- 2 a 6-term exact sequence for HP^* ;
- 3 a “compatibility” between these two 6-term exact sequences.

K-theory for Generalised Crossed Products

For a C^* -algebraic GCP, Pimsner-Voiculescu type exact sequence:

Theorem (Pimsner, 1997 – Abadie, Eilers & Exel, 1998)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow \partial & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ K_1(B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B). \end{array}$$

The maps $K_j(B) \rightarrow K_j(B)$ are defined out of \mathcal{L} and σ .

We want a similar statement for HP^* , i.e.

- 1 a “smooth version” $\mathcal{B} \hat{\rtimes} \mathbb{Z}$ of $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$;
- 2 a 6-term exact sequence for HP^* ;
- 3 a “compatibility” between these two 6-term exact sequences.

Main results: hypotheses

If $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$ is a GCP s.t. X is compact and G Lie group acting on the GCP, then

- smooth version $\mathcal{B} \hat{\rtimes} \mathbb{Z}$ of $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$ can be defined;
- there is a linearly split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \hat{\rtimes} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{Toeplitz Extension})$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{B} \hat{\rtimes} \mathbb{Z}$ is **tame**,

► Definition

- then the following holds:

Proposition: equalities of HP^* (G. & Grensing, 2011)

Under the same hypotheses,

$$HP^*(\mathcal{C}) = HP^*(\mathcal{B}) \quad HP^*(\mathcal{T}) = HP^*(\mathcal{B}).$$

↪ Holds for more general functors (Cuntz' kk -theory).

► Details

Main results: statement

Theorem (G. & Greising, 2011)

Under the previous hypotheses (in part. $\mathcal{B} \hat{\times} \mathbb{Z}$ tame),

- 1 Exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} HP^0(\mathcal{B}) & \longleftarrow & HP^0(\mathcal{B}) & \longleftarrow & HP^0(\mathcal{B} \hat{\times} \mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \downarrow \# & & \# \uparrow \\ HP^1(\mathcal{B} \hat{\times} \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & HP^1(\mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & HP^1(\mathcal{B}). \end{array}$$

- 2 Transfer formula: $\forall K \in K_i(B \times_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}), \forall \varphi \in HP^{i+1}(\mathcal{B}),$

$$\langle [K], \# \varphi \rangle = 2i\pi \langle \partial[K], \varphi \rangle.$$

- Lie group action: only required to define $\mathcal{B} \hat{\times} \mathbb{Z}$.
- Transfer formula: consequence of (Toeplitz Extension) and results by Nistor (1997). Extends Nest (1988).

Tameness – statement

Hermitian line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$, i.e. pointwise scalar product
 $B\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: C^\infty(X; \mathcal{L}) \times C^\infty(X; \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$.

Definition (frame of $\Lambda \rightarrow X$)

A finite family v_1, \dots, v_N in $C^\infty(X; \Lambda)$ such that

$$\sum_{\omega} B\langle v_{\omega}, v_{\omega} \rangle = 1.$$

Denote $\mathcal{E}^m := C^\infty(X; \mathcal{L} \otimes \sigma\mathcal{L} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^{m-1}\mathcal{L})$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The smooth algebra is equipped with continuous seminorms p .

Definition (Tame GCP)

- For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{E}^m admit a frame $(v_{\omega}^m)_{\omega}$;
- the length of the frames (v_{ω}^m) is *uniformly* bounded;
- For all seminorm p on $\mathcal{B} \hat{\times} \mathbb{Z}$, the sequence $p(v_{\omega})$ has polynomial growth.

Tameness – sufficient condition and examples

Consider a GCP with X compact, $B = C(X)$, Hermitian line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ and $\sigma: B \rightarrow B$ s.t.

◀ Back

- a finite dim. Lie group G acts on B ...
- ...and on E , yielding an action $\alpha: G \curvearrowright A = B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$;
- assume $\sigma = \alpha_{g^{-1}}$ for some $g \in G$ and
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\| \text{Ad}_{g^k} \| \leq Ck^d$ (adjoint action $\text{Ad}: G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$),

then

Proposition (G.)

- 1 the G -smooth elements \mathcal{A} of A form a smooth GCP,
- 2 \mathcal{A} is tame for an explicit family $(v_\omega^{(l)})_{\omega, l}$ of frames.

- The index ω correspond to a (finite) trivialisation of \mathcal{L} .
- The previous properties are satisfied by QHM.
- Growth condition met by compact Lie groups G .

Tameness – sufficient condition and examples

Consider a GCP with X compact, $B = C(X)$, Hermitian line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ and $\sigma: B \rightarrow B$ s.t.

◀ Back

- a finite dim. Lie group G acts on B ...
- ...and on E , yielding an action $\alpha: G \curvearrowright A = B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$;
- assume $\sigma = \alpha_{g^{-1}}$ for some $g \in G$ and
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\| \text{Ad}_{g^k} \| \leq Ck^d$ (adjoint action $\text{Ad}: G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$),

then

Proposition (G.)

- 1 the G -smooth elements \mathcal{A} of A form a smooth GCP,
- 2 \mathcal{A} is tame for an explicit family $\left(v_{\omega}^{(l)} \right)_{\omega, l}$ of frames.

- The index ω correspond to a (finite) trivialisation of \mathcal{L} .
- The previous properties are satisfied by QHM.
- Growth condition met by compact Lie groups G .

Tameness – sufficient condition and examples

Consider a GCP with X compact, $B = C(X)$, Hermitian line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ and $\sigma: B \rightarrow B$ s.t.

◀ Back

- a finite dim. Lie group G acts on B ...
- ...and on E , yielding an action $\alpha: G \curvearrowright A = B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$;
- assume $\sigma = \alpha_{g^{-1}}$ for some $g \in G$ and
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\| \text{Ad}_{g^k} \| \leq Ck^d$ (adjoint action $\text{Ad}: G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$),

then

Proposition (G.)

- 1 the G -smooth elements \mathcal{A} of A form a smooth GCP,
- 2 \mathcal{A} is tame for an explicit family $\left(v_{\omega}^{(l)} \right)_{\omega, l}$ of frames.

- The index ω correspond to a (finite) trivialisation of \mathcal{L} .
- The previous properties are satisfied by QHM.
- Growth condition met by compact Lie groups G .

QHM as tame smooth GCP

A general construction of “smooth algebras”: if

- G is a Lie group, A is a C^* -algebra...
- ...and $\alpha: G \curvearrowright A$, pointwise continuous action

then

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ a \in A : g \mapsto \alpha_g(a) \text{ is } C^\infty \right\} \subseteq A,$$

is a dense subalgebra of A and $K_j(\mathcal{A}) = K_j(A)$ (“good” inclusion).

For QHM, the *Heisenberg group* H_1 acts by α on $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$, thus defining $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$ as above. Moreover,

- the defining translation ◀ Reminder $\sigma = \tau_{\mu,\nu}$ on $B = C(T^2)$ of $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ extends to α_g acting on all of $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$...
- ... for some $g = (\mu, \nu, t) \in H_1$ satisfying the growth condition $\| \text{Ad}_{g^k} \| \leq Ck^d$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, our main theorem ◀ Reminder applies to QHM,

giving the explicit results ◀ Reminder.

QHM as tame smooth GCP

A general construction of “smooth algebras”: if

- G is a Lie group, A is a C^* -algebra...
- ...and $\alpha: G \curvearrowright A$, pointwise continuous action

then

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ a \in A : g \mapsto \alpha_g(a) \text{ is } C^\infty \right\} \subseteq A,$$

is a dense subalgebra of A and $K_j(\mathcal{A}) = K_j(A)$ (“good” inclusion).

For QHM, the *Heisenberg group* H_1 acts by α on $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$, thus defining $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$ as above. Moreover,

- the defining translation ◀ **Reminder** $\sigma = \tau_{\mu,\nu}$ on $B = C(T^2)$ of $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ extends to α_g acting on all of $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$...
- ... for some $g = (\mu, \nu, t) \in H_1$ satisfying the growth condition $\| \text{Ad}_{g^k} \| \leq Ck^d$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, our main theorem ◀ **Reminder** applies to QHM,

giving the explicit results ◀ **Reminder**.

QHM as tame smooth GCP

A general construction of “smooth algebras”: if

- G is a Lie group, A is a C^* -algebra...
- ...and $\alpha: G \curvearrowright A$, pointwise continuous action

then

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ a \in A : g \mapsto \alpha_g(a) \text{ is } C^\infty \right\} \subseteq A,$$

is a dense subalgebra of A and $K_j(\mathcal{A}) = K_j(A)$ (“good” inclusion).

For QHM, the *Heisenberg group* H_1 acts by α on $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$, thus defining $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$ as above. Moreover,

- the defining translation ◀ **Reminder** $\sigma = \tau_{\mu,\nu}$ on $B = C(T^2)$ of $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ extends to α_g acting on all of $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$...
- ... for some $g = (\mu, \nu, t) \in H_1$ satisfying the growth condition $\| \text{Ad}_{g^k} \| \leq Ck^d$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, our main theorem ◀ **Reminder** applies to QHM,

giving the explicit results ◀ **Reminder**.

QHM as tame smooth GCP

A general construction of “smooth algebras”: if

- G is a Lie group, A is a C^* -algebra...
- ...and $\alpha: G \curvearrowright A$, pointwise continuous action

then

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ a \in A : g \mapsto \alpha_g(a) \text{ is } C^\infty \right\} \subseteq A,$$

is a dense subalgebra of A and $K_j(\mathcal{A}) = K_j(A)$ (“good” inclusion).

For QHM, the *Heisenberg group* H_1 acts by α on $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$, thus defining $\mathcal{D}_{\mu,\nu}^c$ as above. Moreover,

- the defining translation ◀ Reminder $\sigma = \tau_{\mu,\nu}$ on $B = C(T^2)$ of $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$ extends to α_g acting on all of $D_{\mu,\nu}^c$...
- ... for some $g = (\mu, \nu, t) \in H_1$ satisfying the growth condition $\| \text{Ad}_{g^k} \| \leq Ck^d$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, our main theorem ◀ Reminder applies to QHM,

giving the explicit results ◀ Reminder.

- Exact sequence in HP^* :



O. G. and M. GRENSING

Six-Term Exact Sequences for Smooth
Generalized Crossed Products

to appear in J. Noncommut. Geom.

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.2154>

- Particular case of QHM:



O. G.

Pairings, K -theory and Cyclic Cohomology for
Quantum Heisenberg Manifolds

to appear in J. Noncommut. Geom.

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.6287>

...

Result for General Functors

Denote by \mathcal{K} the smooth compact operators.

Theorem (Grensing, G. – 2011)

If

- H is a split-exact, diffeotopy-invariant and \mathcal{K} -stable functor from locally convex algebras to abelian groups,
- $\mathcal{B} \hat{\times} \mathbb{Z}$ is a tame smooth GCP,

then

$$H(\mathcal{C}) \simeq H(\mathcal{A}) \qquad H(\mathcal{T}) \simeq H(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof: use Cuntz' techniques for kk -theory, e.g. Morita contexts. Steps similar to Pimsner's proof for KK -theory.

- 1 Morita bicontext between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} .
- 2 Quasihomomorphism required for $H(\mathcal{T}) \simeq H(\mathcal{A})$.

Generalised crossed products: general definition

Take γ a *pointwise continuous* action of $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ on A .

$\gamma: S^1 \curvearrowright A$, *gauge action* and C^* -algebra A , *gauged algebra*.

- For any $a \in A$, $t \mapsto \gamma_t(a)$ 1-periodic, Banach-valued continuous function.
- Fourier series: introduce the subspaces A_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$A_n = \left\{ a \in A \mid \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_t(a) = e^{i2\pi nt} a \right\} \dots$$

- “ $\dots \oplus A_{-2} \oplus A_{-1} \oplus A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots$ ” is dense in A .

Definition

A is a GCP if it is generated (as C^* -algebra) by $B := A_0$ and A_1 .

First Definition

Previous properties:

$$*(A_n) = A_{-n}$$

$$A_n A_m \subseteq A_{n+m}$$

Consequences:

- A_0 is a sub- C^* -algebra of A ;
- A_n are bimodules over A_0 .

In fact, A_0 -valued scalar products on A_n :

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{A_0} = \xi^* \eta$$

$$A_0 \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \xi \eta^*.$$

The A_n are *Hilbert bimodules* over A_0 .

► Definition

Set $B := A_0$ (*basis algebra*) and $E := A_1$,

Definition (: Generalised Crossed Product – I)

A gauged C^* -algebra A s.t. B and E generate A is called *generalised crossed product* and denoted by $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$.

First Definition

Previous properties:

$$*(A_n) = A_{-n}$$

$$A_n A_m \subseteq A_{n+m}$$

Consequences:

- A_0 is a sub- C^* -algebra of A ;
- A_n are bimodules over A_0 .

In fact, A_0 -valued scalar products on A_n :

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{A_0} = \xi^* \eta$$

$$A_0 \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \xi \eta^*.$$

The A_n are *Hilbert bimodules* over A_0 .

► Definition

Set $B := A_0$ (*basis algebra*) and $E := A_1$,

Definition (: Generalised Crossed Product – I)

A gauged C^* -algebra A s.t. B and E generate A is called *generalised crossed product* and denoted by $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$.

First Definition

Previous properties:

$$*(A_n) = A_{-n}$$

$$A_n A_m \subseteq A_{n+m}$$

Consequences:

- A_0 is a sub- C^* -algebra of A ;
- A_n are bimodules over A_0 .

In fact, A_0 -valued scalar products on A_n :

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{A_0} = \xi^* \eta$$

$${}_{A_0} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \xi \eta^*.$$

The A_n are *Hilbert bimodules* over A_0 .

► Definition

Set $B := A_0$ (*basis algebra*) and $E := A_1$,

Definition (: Generalised Crossed Product – I)

A gauged C^* -algebra A s.t. B and E generate A is called *generalised crossed product* and denoted by $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$.

First Definition

Previous properties:

$$*(A_n) = A_{-n}$$

$$A_n A_m \subseteq A_{n+m}$$

Consequences:

- A_0 is a sub- C^* -algebra of A ;
- A_n are bimodules over A_0 .

In fact, A_0 -valued scalar products on A_n :

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{A_0} = \xi^* \eta$$

$$A_0 \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \xi \eta^*.$$

The A_n are *Hilbert bimodules* over A_0 .

► Definition

Set $B := A_0$ (*basis algebra*) and $E := A_1$,

Definition (: Generalised Crossed Product – I)

A gauged C^* -algebra A s.t. B and E generate A is called *generalised crossed product* and denoted by $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$.

First Definition

Previous properties:

$$*(A_n) = A_{-n} \qquad A_n A_m \subseteq A_{n+m}$$

Consequences:

- A_0 is a sub- C^* -algebra of A ;
- A_n are bimodules over A_0 .

In fact, A_0 -valued scalar products on A_n :

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{A_0} = \xi^* \eta \qquad A_0 \langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \xi \eta^*.$$

The A_n are *Hilbert bimodules* over A_0 .

► Definition

Set $B := A_0$ (*basis algebra*) and $E := A_1$,

Definition (: Generalised Crossed Product – I)

A gauged C^* -algebra A s.t. B and E generate A is called *generalised crossed product* and denoted by $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$.

Commutative Case: Steps of the Proof

Steps of the proof:

- Serre-Swan theorem: $E \iff$ vector bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$.
- Character φ of $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z} \rightsquigarrow$ character $b \mapsto b(x_0)$ of B .
This is the map $P \rightarrow X$.
- $\text{ev}_{x_0}: B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rtimes_{\mathcal{L}_{x_0}} \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\varphi = \psi \circ \text{ev}_{x_0}$.
Since $B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$ is commutative, we must have $\dim \mathcal{L} = 1$.
- Find section ξ_1 of \mathcal{L} with $\langle \xi_1, \xi_1 \rangle = 1$, *locally*.
Consequently, $\varphi(\xi_1)^* \varphi(\xi_1) = \varphi(\langle \xi_1, \xi_1 \rangle) = 1$
which shows that $\varphi(\xi_1) \in U(1)$.
- Write any $a \in B \rtimes_{\sigma, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Z}$ as $\sum b_n (\xi_1)^n$, *locally*.
- For any character φ there is $\lambda \in U(1)$:

$$\varphi\left(\sum b_n (\xi_1)^n\right) = \sum b_n(x_0) \lambda^n.$$

- Action of γ_t : $\varphi(\gamma_t(b)) = b$ and $\varphi(\gamma_t(\xi_1)) = e^{i2\pi t \lambda}$.